(SOLE INVENTOR) Atty. Docket No.: END920030094

Declaration and Power of Attorney for Patent Application

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: DYNAMIC TRANSACTION CONTROL WITHIN A HOST TRANSACTION PROCESSING SYSTEM

PROCESSING	SYSTEM			TO TO THE TOTAL TO
the specification	on of which (check			
X	is attached hereto	D.		
	was filed on		as Application Serial No	and was amended on
I hereby state by any amenda	that I have reviewed and nent referred to above.	d understand the con	tents of the above-identified spe	cification, including the claims, as amended
l acknowledge Federal Regula	the duty to disclose info ations, §1.56.	rmation which is mate	erial to the patentability of this ap	plication in accordance with Title 37, Code o
	foreign priority benefits I below and have also ic ication on which priority		ed States Code, §119 of any for reign application for patent or inv	reign application(s) for patent or inventor's rentor's certificate having a filing date before
Prior	Foreign Application(s):			
Num NON		Country	Day/Month/Year	Priority Claimed
first paragraph application as d	of Title 35, United State	s Code, §112, I ackn	owledge the duty to disclose info	plication(s) listed below and, insofar as the es application in the manner provided by the ormation material to the patentability of this en the filing date of the prior application and
Prior	U.S. Applications:			
Seria NONE		Filing Da	ate	Status
punishable by 1	ine or imprisonment, o	r both under Section		tements made on information and belief are ul false statements and the like so made are d States Code and that such willful false
36,493, Joseph Redmond, Jr., F 47,558, Charles Reg. No. 41,140 and/or agents to correspondence	Petrokaitis, Reg. No. 3 eg. No 18,753, Andrew J. Gross, Reg. No. 52, Richard S. Meyer, Reg o prosecute this applic	88,995, John E. Hoe M. Calderon, Reg. N 972, Scott J. Hawran I. No. 32,541, Hae-Ch ation and transact al	1. 55,559, William H. Steinberg, R. I, Reg. No. 26,279, Christopher No. 38,093, S. Luke Anderson, F ek, Reg. No. 52,411, Maryam M. Nan Park, Reg. No. 50,114 and Tr I business in the Patent and Tr	ohn R. Pivnichny, Reg. No. 43,001, Arthur J. eg. No. 28,540, JoAnn K. Crockatt, Reg. No. A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914, Joseph C. Reg. No. 44,507, Scott A. Felder, Reg. No. Ipakchi, Reg. No. 51,835, Philip D. Lane, lark J. Young, Reg. No. 39,436 as attorneys ademark Office connected therewith. All 100, McLean, Virginia, 22102. Phone calls
1) Invent	or: Paul Snyder			
Signa	ure:	22		2654703
Reside	ence: 114 Cambridge [Orive, Mechanicsburg	g, PA 17055	Date
Citizer	ship: United States of	America		
Post C	ffice Address: Same as	above		

1

(SOLE INVENTOR) Atty. Docket No.: END920030094

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56:

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filling and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.